Information Retrieval - Project Report

# Problem Statement

There is an abundance of music available in today’s world. Numerous songs, artists and even genres have been popping up all over the world over the last couple of decades. The sheer amount of musical material sometimes makes it difficult for a person to find new music that he or she would really like. To remedy this problem, services have begun to implement recommender systems to help people discover artists or songs that suits them based on their or similar users’ taste in music.

This is where our project comes into play. We are going to build a recommender system for Last.FM, one of these services, because we would like to know which method works best (user-based, item-based or a combination of both) for accurately recommending music artists to listen to. Last.FM is an online service that allows users to listen and share any music that they would like. Since their inception in 2003, over 100,000,000,000 tracks have been listened to on the service. Our recommender system will utilise a provided dataset containing the activity of users in regards to which artists they have listened to and how much they have listened to those artists to determine similar users for the user-based recommender. For the item-based recommender, we will make use of a provided dataset of tags that have been given to songs and artists to determine similar songs or artists. We believe this system could prove fruitful in helping improve the algorithm for discovering new music on the Last.FM service. What combination of recommender techniques gives the best results in recommending artists to users?

This project is directly derived from the research proposal submitted by Karel Beckeringh (s2600358). We felt that he hit the nail on the head with his proposal, since the subject matter and dataset is rather unique and appeals to all of us on a personal level and the project would offer a welcome challenge for us as a group. As such, we unanimously decided to perform his proposal.

Materials:  
Datasets:

The datasets that have been used are mostly the same as found in (Hetrec LITERATUUR) with some added.

\* artists.dat - This file contains information about music artists listened and tagged by the users.

\* tags - This file contains the set of tags available in the dataset.

\* user\_artists.dat – This file contains the artists listened by each user. It also provides a listening count for each [user, artist] pair.

\* user\_friends.dat – These files contain the friend relations between users in the database.

\* test.dat – This file contains 10% randomly selected lines from user\_artists.dat to use as test set.

\* training.dat – This file contains the other 90% of the lines from user\_artists.dat to use as a training set.

Also pickle files created by ourself that use files shown above to make the program more efficient have been used

\* item\_sim40.pickle – This file contains the cosine similarity between artists, only containing those having a score of 0.40 or higher.

\* user\_sim01.pickle – This file contains the cosine similarity between users, only containing those having a score of 0.10 or higher.

Item based:

This item based recommender first looks up what artists user U already follows. From those already following artists and how many times then using an item similarity pickle, all the similar artists to those that user U already follows are found. Then a top N is made from the most similar artists to the ones already followed. The count that is kept track of together with the similarity scores, define a weight for the similar artists. Then using these weights another top N is made for the similar artists that user U should follow but doesn’t yet in the test set.

Evaluation:

In order to properly evaluate the different recommender systems, the complete dataset “user\_artists.dat” was randomly split into a test set 10% and a training set 90%. This was done randomly so the recommender system will predict missing artists from the training set instead of recommending new ones. This way an accuracy can be easily calculated. From the top N recommended artists that the recommender gives back it will look if that artist is already in the training dataset and if it is, it is a hit and if it is not it is a miss. So in the end you can divide the total amount of hits by the total amound of lines in the test set and you have the percentage of correct predictions. In order to see how long the program took to finish a timer has been added to evaluate the efficiency of the code.